Interview Summary

Application No. **08/900,220**

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Michael Brannock, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1646

Miao, N. et al.



(1) <u>Michael Brannock</u>	(3) <u>Yvonne Eyler</u>
(2) David Halstead	(4)
Date of Interview Aug 7, 2001	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐applicant 2)	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)	Mo. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: all	
Identification of prior art discussed: None	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)vas reached. g)	X as not reached. h) N <u>//A</u> .
Substance of Interview including description of the general nat other comments:	ure of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	aims, faxed 7/31/01) would raise an issue of enablement for the
	hat amendments would be presented which more clearly define
the intended meaning of "regulates prolifieration of testicular gramendments would overcome the remaining issues in the case	

i) [X] It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

dunc

summary thereof must be attached.)

MICHAEL BRANNOCK, PH.D.
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1646